University of Glasgow

Coroutines and Asynchronous Programming

Advanced Systems Programming (H)

Lecture 8

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow | This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Lecture Outline

- Motivation
- Coroutines, **`async`**, and **`await`**
- Design patterns for asynchronous code

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Motivation

- How to overlap I/O and computation?
  - Multi-threading
  - Non-blocking I/O and **`select()`**
- Is there a better way?

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Blocking I/O

```
fn read_exact<T: Read>(input: &mut T, buf: &mut [u8]) -> Result<(), std::io::Error> {
    let mut cursor = 0;
    while cursor < buf.len() {
        cursor += input.read(&mut buf[cursor..])?;
    }
}
```

- **Desirable to perform I/O concurrently to other operations**
  - I/O operations are slow
    - Need to wait for network, disk, etc. – operations can take millions of cycles
  - I/O operations block the thread
    - Disrupts the user experience and prevents other computations
- Want to overlap I/O and computation
- Want to allow multiple concurrent I/O operations

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Blocking I/O using Multiple Threads (1/2)

- Traditionally solved by moving blocking operations into separate threads:
  - Spawn dedicated threads to perform I/O operations concurrently
  - Re-join main thread/pass back result as message once complete

- Advantages:
  - Simple
    - No new language or runtime features
    - Don’t have to change the way we do I/O
    - Do have to move I/O to a separate thread, communicate and synchronise
  - Concurrent code can run in parallel if the system has multiple cores
  - Safe, if using Rust, due to ownership rules preventing data races

```
fn main() {
    …
    let (tx, rx) = channel();
    thread::spawn(move|| {
      …perform I/O…
      tx.send(results);
    });
    …
    let data = rx.recv();
    …
}
```

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Blocking I/O using Multiple Threads (2/2)

- Traditionally solved by moving blocking operations into separate threads:
  - Spawn dedicated threads to perform I/O operations concurrently
  - Re-join main thread/pass back result as message once complete

```
fn main() {
    …
    let (tx, rx) = channel();
    thread::spawn(move|| {
      …perform I/O…
      tx.send(results);
    });
    …
    let data = rx.recv();
    …
}
```

- Disadvantages:
  - Complex
    - Requires partitioning the application into multiple threads
  - Resource heavy
    - Each thread has its own stack
    - Context switch overheads
  - Parallelism offers limited benefits for I/O
    - Threads performing I/O often spend majority of time blocked
    - Wasteful to start a new thread that spends most of its time doing nothing

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Non-blocking I/O and Polling (1/4)

- Blocking I/O using threads is problematic:
  - Threads provide concurrent I/O abstraction, but with high overhead
    - Multithreading **can** be inexpensive → Erlang
    - But has high overhead on general purpose operating systems
      - Higher context switch overhead due to security requirements
      - Higher memory overhead due to separate stack
      - Higher overhead due to greater isolation, preemptive scheduling
  - Limited opportunities for parallelism with I/O bound code
    - Threads **can** be scheduled in parallel, but to little benefit unless CPU bound

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Non-blocking I/O and Polling (2/4)

- Lightweight alternative: multiplex I/O operations within a single thread
  - I/O operations complete asynchronously – why have threads block for them?
  - Provide a mechanism to start asynchronous I/O and poll the kernel for I/O events – all within a single application thread
    - Start an I/O operation
    - Periodically poll for progress of the I/O operation
    - If new data is available, a send operation has completed, or an error has occurred, then invoke the handler for that operation

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Non-blocking I/O and Polling (3/4)

- Mechanisms for polling I/O for readiness
  - Berkeley Sockets API **`select()`** function in C
    - Or higher-performance, but less portable, variants such as **`epoll`** (Linux/Android), **`kqueue`** (FreeBSD/ macOS/iOS), I/O completion ports (Windows)
    - Libraries such as **`libevent`**, **`libev`**, or **`libuv`** – common API for such system services
  - Rust **`mio`** library

- Key functionality:
  - Trigger non-blocking I/O operations: **`read()`** or **`write()`** to files, sockets, etc.
  - Poll kernel to check for readable or writeable data, or if errors are outstanding
  - Efficient and only requires a single thread, but requires code restructuring to avoid blocking

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Non-blocking I/O and Polling (4/4)

- Berkeley Sockets API **`select()`** function in C:

```
FD_ZERO(&rfds);
FD_SET(fd1, &rfds);
FD_SET(fd2, &rfds);

tv.tv_sec  = 5;  // Timeout
tv.tv_usec = 0;

int rc = select(1, &rfds, &wfds, &efds, &tv);
if (rc < 0) {
  … handle error
} else if (rc == 0) {
  … handle timeout
} else {
  if (FD_ISSET(fd1, &rfds)) {
    … data available to read() on fd1
  }
  if (FD_ISSET(fd2, &rfds)) {
    … data available to read() on fd2
  }
  …
}
```

`select()` polls a set of file descriptors for their readiness to **`read()`**, **`write()`**, or to deliver errors

`FD_ISSET()` checks particular file descriptor for readiness after **`select()`**

Low-level API well-suited to C programming; other libraries/languages provide comparable features

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Alternatives to Non-blocking I/O?

- Non-blocking I/O can be highly efficient
  - Single thread can handle multiple I/O sources (sockets, file descriptors) at once
- But – requires significant re-write of application code
  - Non-blocking I/O
  - Polling of I/O sources
  - Re-assembly of data

- Can we get the efficiency of non-blocking I/O in a more usable manner?
  - Maybe – coroutines and asynchronous code

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow

Motivation

- How to overlap I/O and computation?
  - Multi-threading
  - Non-blocking I/O and **`select()`**
- Is there a better way?

Colin Perkins | https://csperkins.org/ | Copyright © 2020 University of Glasgow