

School of Computing Science



Garbage Collection

Advanced Operating Systems
Tutorial 4



Review of Lectured Material

- Reference counting
 - Simple, incremental, problems with cycles
- Garbage collection
 - Mark-sweep
 - Mark-compact
 - Copying collectors
 - Generational collectors
 - Real-time collectors
- Practical factors



Key Learning Outcomes

- Concepts of automatic memory management
- Reference counting: what, when, and why?
- Garbage collection concepts
 - Basic mark-sweep algorithm
 - Limitations, and rationale for copying collectors
 - Generational collectors: concepts, advantages and disadvantages
 - Incremental collectors
 - Tricolour marking
 - Read- and write-barriers
 - For real-time use
 - Practical limitations



Discussion: Real-time Garbage Collection

- Why is this paper interesting?
 - Garbage collection for domain where not usually considered
- Problems with prior work
 - Fragmentation and inability to handle large data structures; high-space overhead; uneven mutator (program) utilisation; garbage collector consumes significant fraction of available **CPU** time
- Basic operation of the real-time collector
 - Free lists for different size blocks
 - Non-copying (mostly) arraylets
 - Incremental mark-sweep algorithm, with read barrier
 - Occasional copies, for defragmentation
- Real-time scheduling
 - Analytical analysis to show performance bounds
 - Practical factors and implementation issues
 - Does this convince you that garbage collection is a realistic choice for real-time systems?

A Real-time Garbage Collector with Low Overhead and Consistent Utilization

David F. Bacon

Perry Cheng

Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

ABSTRACT

Now that the use of garbage collection in languages like Java is be-coming widely accepted due to the safety and software engineering benefits it provides, there is significant interest in applying garbage collection to hard real-time systems. Past approaches have gener-ally suffered from one of two major flaws: either they were not provably real-time, or they imposed large space overheads to meet the real-time bounds. We present a mostly non-moving, dynami-cally defragmenting collector that overcomes both of these limitations: by avoiding copying in most cases, space requirements are kept low; and by fully incrementalizing the collector we are able to kept low; and by fully incrementalizing the collector we are able to meet real-time bounds. We implemented our algorithm in the Jikse RVM and show that at real-time resolution we are able to obtain mutator utilization rates of 45% with only 1.6–25 times the ac-tual space required by the application, a factor of 4 improvement in utilization over the best previously published results. Defragmen-tation causes no more than 4% of the traced data to be copied.

General Terms

Categories and Subject Descriptors

C.3 [Special-Purpose and Application-Based Systems]: Real-time and embedded systems; D.3.2 [Programming Languages]: Java; D.3.4 [Programming Languages]: Processors—Memory

Read barrier, defragmentation, real-time scheduling, utilization

Garbage collected languages like Java are making significant in-roads into domains with hard real-time concerns, such as automo-tive command-and-control systems. However, the engineering and product life-cycle advantages consequent from the simplicity of

the same language. Therefore, there is a pressing practical need for a system that can provide real-time guarantees for Java without

for a system that can provide real-time guarantees for Java without imposing major penalties in space or time.

We present a design for a real-time garbage collector for Java an analysis of its real-time properties, and implementation results that show that we are able to run applications with high mutator utilization and low variance in pause times.

The target is uniprocessor embedded systems. The collector is therefore concurrent, but not parallel. This choice both complicates and simplifies the design is complicated by the fact that the collector must be interleaved with the mutators; instead of heine

the collector must be interleaved with the mutators, instead of being able to run on a separate processor; the design is simplified since the programming model is sequentially consistent.

as well as requiring barriers on reads and/or writes, which are costl

Our collector is unique in that it occupies an under-explored por Our collector is unique in that it occupies an under-explored por-tion of the design space for real-time incremental collectors: it is a mostly non-copying hybrid. As long as space is available, it acts like a non-copying collector, with the consequent advantages. When space becomes scarce, it performs defragmentation with limited copying of objects. We show experimentally that such a design is able to achieve low space and time overhead, and high and con-sistent mutator CPU utilization.

In order to achieve high performance with a copying collecto we have developed optimization techniques for the Brooks-style read barrier [10] using an "eager invariant" that keeps read barrier overhead to 4%, an order of magnitude faster than previous soft-

Our collector can use either time- or work-based scheduling. Most previous work on real-time garbage collection, starting with Baker's algorithm [5], has used work-based scheduling. We show both analytically and experimentally that time-based scheduling is terest in real-time systems. Work-based algorithms may achieve short individual pause times, but are unable to achieve consister

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes pr