

School of Computing Science



Systems Programming and Alternative Operating Systems

Advanced Operating Systems
Tutorial 1



Goals of Tutorials

- Level M course → assessing critical thinking skills; ability to read research papers, extract key insights
- Tutorials intended to facilitate this:
 - To provide space to discuss the Further Reading highlighted at the end of the lectures in the previous week, to consolidate learning, and emphasise key points of the material
 - You are expected to have read the highlighted papers, and to come to the tutorial prepared to discuss the material
 - Write your own summaries of the papers: what are the key concepts and ideas? what isn't clear? what's unimportant detail?
 - Discuss material that isn't clear in the tutorials → you're not expected to understand everything in the papers

Reading Research Papers

- Was everyone able to access the papers?
- Experiences with the process of reading a research paper?
 - Critical reading of a research paper is difficult and requires practice; read in a structured manner, not end-to-end, think about the material as you go
 - Advice on paper reading: http://www.eecs.harvard.edu/~michaelm/ postscripts/ReadPaper.pdf
 - S. Keshav, "How to Read a Paper", ACM Computer Communication Review, 37(3), July 2007 DOI: 10.1145/1273445.1273458
- Did everyone take notes? Come with questions to discuss?



Discussion of Papers

- J. Shapiro, "Programming language challenges in systems codes: why systems programmers still use C, and what to do about it", Proc. PLOS 2006, San Jose, CA, Oct. 2006. DOI:10.1145/1215995.1216004
 - Systems programming: constrained memory, I/O performance, data representation, state matters
 - Fallacies: factors of 1.5–2 don't matter; boxed representation can be optimised; the optimiser can fix it; legacy issues insurmountable
 - Suggests: annotating code to check application constraints
 - Suggests: manual but automatically checked storage management; explicit control over data representation
 - The BitC project wasn't a success, but are the ideas valid?

Programming Language Challenges in Systems Codes

Why Systems Programmers Still Use C, and What to Do About It

Jonathan Shapiro, Ph.D. Systems Research Laboratory Department of Computer Science Johns Hopkins University

Abstract

graciously disregarded by my colleagues.

artocase of C++ in so long tims entains a certain ugo of chutzpah. There is hope. Microkernel developers seem have abandoned C++ in favor of C. The book is out of pr in most countries, and no longer encourages deviant codi practices among susceptible young programmers.

A Word About BitC Brewer et al.'s cry that Thirt

Systems programming — and BitC — are fundamentally about engineering rather than programming languages. It By the time I left the PL co

Discussion of Papers

- G. Hunt and J. Larus. "Singularity: Rethinking the software stack", ACM SIGOPS OS Review, 41(2), April 2007. DOI:10.1145/1243418.1243424
 - Use of strongly-typed languages to build an operating system; software isolated processes; message passing

 is this a sound basis for the system?
 - Type-safe message passing through channels; checked state machines for communication protocols (e.g., to control device driver state) – useful tool to help ensure correctness, or over-complex and stifling?
 - Small unsafe microkernel, with type-safe system layered above – can the microkernel be written in a safe language?
 - Threads and exchange heap; garbage collection overheads?
 - Is the idea of running everything in a virtual machine reasonable?

Singularity: Rethinking the Software Stack

Galen C. Hunt and James R. Larus
Microsoft Research Redmond
galenh@microsoft.com

ABSTRAC

Every operating system embodies a collection of design decisions. Many of the decisions behind today's most popular operating systems have remained unchanged, even as hardware and software have covoled. Operating systems form the foundation of almost every software stack, so inadequacies in present systems have a prevasive impact. This paper describes the efforts of the Singularity project to re-examine these design choices in light of advances in programming languages and verification tools. Singularity systems incorporate three key architectural features: software-isolated processes for protection of programs and system services, contract-based channels for communication, and manifest-based programs for verification of system properties. We describe this foundation in detail and sketch the ongoing research in experimental systems that build upon it.

Keyword

Operating systems, safe programming languages, prograverification, program specification, sealed process architectursealed kernel, software-isolated processes (SIPs), hardwa protection domains, manifest-based programs (MBPs), unsacode tw.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every operating system embodies a collection of design decisions—some explicit, some implicit. These decisions include the choice of implementation language, the program protection model, the security model, the system abstractions, and many others.

Contemporary operating systems—Windows, Linux, Mac OS X, and BSD—share a large number of design decisions. This commonality is not entirely accidental, as these systems are all rooted in OS architectures and development tools of the late 1960's and early 1970's. Given the common operating environments, the same programming language, and similar user expectations, it is not surprising that designers of these systems made similar decisions. While some design decisions have

The Singularity project started in 2003 to re-examine the desi decisions and increasingly obvious shortcomings of existir systems and software stacks. These shortcomings include: wid spread security vulnerabilities; unexpected interactions amon applications; failures caused by errant extensions, plug-ins, and crivers, and a perceived lack of robustness.

drivers, and a perceived lack of robustness.

We believe that many of these problems are attributable to systems that have not evolved far beyond the computer architectures and programming languages of the 1960's and 1970's. The computing environment of that period was very different from today. Computers were externely limited in speed and memory capacity. They were used only by a small group of benign technical iterati and were rarely networked or connected or the properties of the propertie

modern operating systems have not evolved to accommodat enormous shift in how computers are used.

1.1 A Journey, not a Destination

In the Singularity project, we have built a new operating system, a new programming language, and new software verification tools. The Singularity operating system incorporates a new software architecture based on software solution of processes. Our programming language, Single 181, is an extension of £8 that provides verifiable, first-class support for OS communication primitives as well as strong support for Systems programming and code factoring. The sound verification tools detect programmer errors early in the development evels.

From the beginning, Singularity has been driven by the following uncession: what would a software platform look like if it was deependability and trustworthness? To this end, we have dependability and trustworthness? To this end, we have championed three strategies, First, the pervasive use of suffered the strategies, First, the pervasive use of suffered the strategies, First, the pervasive use of such superagramming languages eliminates many preventable defects, such as buffer overrums. Second, the use of sound program verification tools further guarantees that entire classes of programmer errors are removed from the system early in the development cycle. Third, an improved system architecture stops the propagation of runtime errors at well-defined boundaries, making it easier to achieve robust and correct system behavior. Although dependability is difficult to measure in a research and the statement of the st

Singularity is a laboratory for experimentation in new designed ideas, not a design solution. While we like to think our curre code base represents a significant step forward from prior wor we do not see it as an "ideal" system or an end in itself, research prototype such as Singularity is intentionally a work progress; it is a laboratory in which we continue to explorimely memorations and trade-offs.

In the remainder of this paper, we describe the comma carbitectural foundation shared by all fingularity systems. Section 3 describes the implementation of the Singularity kernel which provides the base implementation of that foundation. Section surveys our work over the last three years within the Singularit project to explore new opportunities in the OS and system desig space. Finally, in Section 5, we summarize our work to date at discuss areas of future work.

2. ARCHITECTURAL FOUNDATION

In C Singularity system consists of time key architectural realures: softwart-sloaled processes, contract-based channels, and manifest-based programs. Softwart-siolated processes provide an environment for program execution protected from external interference. Contract-based channels enable fast, verifiable message-based communication between processes. Manifest-

: