

RTP Functionalities for RTCWEB

A combined view from the authors of

[draft-cbran-rtcweb-media-00](#)

[draft-perkins-rtcweb-rtp-usage-02](#)

Introduction

- Agreement that RTP is the protocol choice for Real-time Media Transport.
- These slides walk through the proposed set of RTP features
 - Provide a short motivation and explanation
 - The proposed requirement level for Implementation in an RTCWEB end-point for each
 - **There is no discussion of RTP multiplexing in these slides**
- Open Issues:
 - RTP Retransmissions level of requirement
 - Forward Error Correction, method and requirements level
 - Bit-rate Adaptation Solution

Core Features

- RTP [RFC3550] is REQUIRED
- RTCP is REQUIRED
- SAVPF RTP Profile [RFC5124] is REQUIRED
 - Secure RTP provided
 - AVPF RTCP Transmission rules
 - Timelier transmission of events
 - More efficient usage of RTCP bandwidth
- Key-management for SRTP, most talked about candidate is DTLS-SRTP [RFC 5764]

RTP Optimizations

- RTP and RTCP Multiplexing [RFC5761] is REQUIRED
 - Multiplex RTP and RTCP on one lower layer identifier
- Reduced Size RTCP [RFC5506] is REQUIRED
 - Allows one to send RTCP packets that are not compound packets as specified in RFC3550
 - Improves RTCP performance when sending feedback events
- Symmetric RTP [RFC4961] is REQUIRED
 - Send RTP and RTCP in bi-directional flows within an RTP session
- Short-term persistent RTCP CNAME Generation (method B) [RFC6222] is RECOMMEND
 - High Quality CNAME identifiers
 - Preserves privacy

Conferencing Extensions

- The extensions improves centralized conferencing
- Full Intra Request (FIR) [RFC5104] is RECOMMEND
 - Used by central nodes to request a new Intra to facilitate switch or when a new user joins the session.
- Picture Loss Indicator (PLI) [RFC5104] is RECOMMENDED
 - Sent by end-points that are missing packets resulting in corrupted decoder state
- Temporary Maximum Media Stream Bit Rate Request [RFC5104] is RECOMMEND
 - Allows a central node or end-point to request a temporary cap in media bit-rate from a particular source.
 - Facilitates adaptation across central nodes

RTP Header Extensions

- RTP Header Extension provides Meta Data about media stream
- If any header extension is implemented [RFC5285] is REQUIRED
 - Core specification for extensible and stackable header extensions
- Rapid RTP Synchronization [RFC6051] is RECOMMENDED
 - The header extension allows RTP synchronization information also carried in RTCP to be sent with media stream at e.g. start up or when new end-point joins a conference
- Client to Mixer Audio Level [draft-ietf-avtext-client-to-mixer-audio-level] is RECOMMEND
 - Allows a mixer to make selection decision based on this header, rather than decoding audio and measuring audio levels
- Mixer to Client Audio Level [draft-ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level] is OPTIONAL
 - Clients receiving a mixed audio stream gets indication of level for the ones included in the mix. Thus allowing GUI indications.

Open Issue: RTP Retransmission

- RTP Retransmission [RFC4588] is an RTP packet loss repair mechanism:
 - Allows for selective retransmissions, i.e. focus on intra frames or preserving prediction chains
 - Very bandwidth efficient
 - Down side is extra delay it adds between transmission and when media decoding can start
 - Usable in interactive communication within continents
 - Ericsson has good practical experience using it in centralized video conferencing
 - Error free media at lower base quality preferred over erroneous at higher base quality
 - Targets correcting packet loss rates up to ~5%
 - Bit-rate adaptation should prevent high persistent loss rates
- What level of Implementation Requirement should it have?
 - RECOMMENDED
 - OPTIONAL

Open Issue: Forward Error Correction

- Forward Error Correction (FEC) is an alternative to retransmission (RTX)
- Possible to reduce delay compared to RTX, but has more overhead.
 - Additional delay allows for reduction in overhead
- Possible Solutions
 - Basic redundancy [RFC2198] (audio and text only), or using the retransmission format [RFC4588]
 - XOR based Block FEC [RFC5109]
 - Block FEC Schemes, e.g. Reed-Salomon [[draft-galanos-fecframe-rtp-reedsolomon-03](#)] or Raptor [[draft-ietf-fecframe-rtp-raptor-04](#)]
- Potential IPR Concerns
- What to select, if any, is an Open Issue!

Open Issue: RTP Rate Control

- A Clear Need for RTP Media Rate Control:
 - Heterogeneous paths require that, at minimum, one prevents self-induced congestion
 - Avoiding filling buffers also keeps latency low
 - Some level of resource sharing is likely good:
 - TCP Friendliness is not required
- The open issue is the lack of a standardized, implemented and tested solution
- Possible Solutions are:
 - TFRC for RTP [[draft-gharai-avtcore-rtp-tfrc-00](#)]
 - RTP over DCCP [[RFC 5762](#)] using CCID=3 (TFRC) [[RFC 5762](#)] or CCID=4 (TFRC-SP) [[RFC 5622](#)]
 - ?