Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 8 January 2026

C. Perkins
University of Glasgow

7 July 2025

The Role of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
draft-perkins-role-of-irtf-03

Abstract

This memo discusses the role of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF), considering its research groups, community, and the various workshops, prizes, and other activities it supports. The relationship of the IRTF to the IETF is also considered.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Steering Group (IRSG).

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at https://csperkins.github.io/draft-perkins-role-of-irtf/draft-perkins-role-of-irtf.html. Status information for this document may be found at https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-perkins-role-of-irtf/.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at https://github.com/csperkins/draft-perkins-role-of-irtf.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 8 January 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Research Groups 3
3. Community 4
4. Workshops, Prizes, and Other Activities 5
5. Relation to the IETF 6
6. Outreach 7
7. Security Considerations 7
8. IANA Considerations 8
9. Informative References 8
Acknowledgments 9
Author's Address 9

1. Introduction

The Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) promotes research of importance to the evolution of the Internet protocols, applications, architecture, and technology. It focusses on longer term research issues related to the Internet while the parallel organisation, the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), considers shorter term issues of engineering and standards making. The IRTF is a research organisation, not a standards development organisation.

The IAB has previously considered the role of the IRTF [RFC4440], and the Primer on the IRTF for IETF Participants [RFC7418] also considers the topic. This memo seeks to complement those prior discussions, in the light of experiences in the decades since they were written.

This memo is not an IETF product and is not a standard.

2. Research Groups

The IRTF is primarily structured around a number of research groups. These are generally, although not always, intended to be long-lived activities that provide a venue for ongoing discussions of research ideas, experimentation and prototyping, and the development of collaborations.

Some research groups focus on applied research and development, taking ideas from the research community that are interesting, but under-explored, and encouraging their development and use to see if they will really work on, in, or for the Internet. Other times, the focus is on more open-ended research that explores a problem, issue, or concern that might become important to the Internet in future, or that encourages the development of a nascent technology where the IRTF can help the research community connect with people in the IETF who can contribute their experience in production Internet engineering and operations. And, in certain circumstances, short-lived and tightly focussed research groups may be chartered to consider, and perhaps provide advice to the IETF community about, a specific problem or concern.

Research groups can publish experimental or informational documents in the RFC series. Some groups make frequent and effective use of this publication venue, but RFCs are often not the focus of research groups. The main output of many research groups is knowledge and understanding, often expressed in the form of academic papers, experimental results and evaluations, or proof-of-concept implementations of new ideas, rather than RFCs. IRTF research groups are successful if they stimulate discussion, produce relevant research and advance the state of knowledge, and make connections between the research and standards communities.

Truth and knowledge do not require rough consensus and, unlike the IETF [RFC8789], there is no requirement to demonstrate consensus for RFCs published by the IRTF [RFC2014]. Research groups should seek to produce good quality scholarly work, regardless of whether that is an RFC or some other publication.

Sometimes, the outcome of a research group is the understanding that an idea is both practical and potentially useful. In this case it might be worth developing into a product or standard, but there is no automatic path into IETF, and outcomes of IRTF groups receive no special consideration in the IETF process.

Research groups typically have a relatively broad remit, but their charters are not open-ended. The focus is research, not engineering, so itâ\200\231s not generally appropriate for a research group to have

explicit milestones and deliverables in the manner of a standards development group, but the research should have a clear theme, direction, and goal. Compared to IETF working groups, which focus on effectively developing standards documents, IRTF groups have much greater flexibility in both how they work and what they are expected to produce.

3. Community

Participation in the IRTF is by individual volunteer contributors, rather than by representatives of organisations. To ensure that all participants are treated with dignity, decency, and respect, and to encourage broad participation, participants in the IRTF follow a code of conduct [RFC9775], including an anti-harassment policy [ANTI-HARASSMENT]. An Ombudsteam is available [OMBUDSTEAM] to address conduct issues and works on an independent and confidential basis.

Participants are also required to disclose Intellectual Property Rights (e.g., patents) relating to contributions they make to the IRTF [RFC5743], [RFC8179].

The focus of most research groups is on supporting research collaborations and connecting people. If a research group is to succeed and add value, there needs to be an appropriate community that can bring together the right set of people to form collaborations and to discuss ideas. Accordingly, the IRTF isnâ\200\231t always the right home for research, even if that research is about the Internet.

The IRTF often works best when bringing in new people, new ideas, and new work and making connections between the IETF community and new parts of the research community. Of course, research groups can still provide benefit when a research topic is mature and there are strong existing connections between the research community and IETF, by providing a venue where new researchers can engage and a neutral space for researchers, standards developers, and operators to discuss research ideas. Balancing such different aspects of IRTF work, and how to allocate available resources to each, is challenging but important.

Different communities can approach a problem from very different directions, and may have different perspectives and approaches, and in doing so may be able to find solutions that might otherwise be missed. Accordingly, there may be value in a research group considering a problem that is, or has been been, studied elsewhere. Generally, though, the IRTF tries to avoid competing with other organisations.

Research groups generally have open membership and do their work in public. While research groups with limited membership are permitted [RFC2014], none exist at the time of this writing and they are now rarely chartered. If limited membership groups are to be chartered, this must be done with care and sensitivity, for reasons that are well-defined and clearly explained, so as to maintain trust in the integrity of the IRTF process and avoid unnecessary fragmentation of the research community.

The IETF Administration LLC (IETF LLC) provides the corporate legal home for the IETF, the IRTF, and the Internet Architecture Board, and is responsible for supporting their ongoing operations, managing their finances and budget, and raising money. Administrative and logistical support for the IRTF is provided by the IETF Secretariat.

4. Workshops, Prizes, and Other Activities

In addition to its research groups, the IRTF sponsors a number of other activities. At the time of this writing, these include the Applied Networking Research Prize (ANRP), organised in conjunction with the Internet Society, the ACM/IRTF Applied Networking Research Workshop (ANRW), and a diversity travel grant programme.

The ANRW provides a venue for publication of academic research in the form of a workshop that co-locates with the July IETF meeting. It is co-sponsored by ACM SIGCOMM, the papers are published in the ACM Digital Library, and itâ\200\231s increasingly widely recognised by the academic research community. PhD students and Faculty can benefit from attending to publish research results, and in the process also gain the opportunity to engage with IRTF and IETF more broadly, and to make useful connections with standards developers and other researchers.

The ANRP, similarly, has been successful in bringing new people into the IRTF community, and helping them make connections to the IETF standards work, while raising awareness of the IRTF in the research community. Several prize winners have brought their work into the IRTF or IETF, leading to publication of a number of RFCs and improvements to several IETF standards and to operational practise.

The IRTF also offers support, in the form of travel grants and fee waivers, to help early-career academics and PhD students from under-represented groups to attend the IRTF meetings and events.

These activities play an important role in connecting the IRTF to the academic research community, in providing an opportunity to potentially translate research results into concrete impact via connections with the standards community and broader industry, and in

supporing those who may otherwise be unable to participate. The generous sponsorship, from many companies and other organisations, that makese these activities possible is gratefully acknowledged.

5. Relation to the IETF

The IRTF and IETF are closely related organisations, but have distinct focus and goals. The IRTF promotes and conducts research; the IETF is an engineering and standards development organisation.

The IRTF seeks to inform and educate the IETF community about the latest advances in research in areas where the IETF operates, to help ensure IETF standards and practises track the state of the art.

The IRTF seeks to introduce new research ideas to the IETF, and to raise awareness of topics that might form the basis for future standards in new areas as technology evolves in different directions.

The IRTF seeks to provoke the IETF community, introducing contrary perspectives and new ideas, critiquing methods and approaches, and challenging assumptions to help ensure the IETF community remains open to new ideas and new ways of working.

The IRTF seeks to inform the research community about current challenges relating to standards development, protocol engineering, and network operations, arising from IETF activities, where further research may be needed.

And the IRTF can act as a resource for the IETF, helping to connect standards developers with experts who might help to review ideas or proposals that need specialist expertise not otherwise be available. This has been especially evident in the field of cryptography, where the Crypto Forum Research Group coordinates expert advice on the appropriate use of cryptographic algorithms, but it is not limited to that domain.

In general, the IRTF seeks to encourage and facilitate connections and collaboration between the research community and the standards development and operations communities in the IETF, and to help facilitate knowledge transfer, in both directions, and to provide a neutral space for collaboration and discussion.

6. Outreach

The research discussed in the IRTF often aligns closely with that promoted by professional societies such ACM, IEEE, USENIX, etc., so it is desirable for the IRTF to maintain good relations with those organisations and with others conducting research that relates to the Internet. The ANRW is an example of such collaboration. Participants in IRTF research groups also regularly help to organise workshops associated with ACM and IEEE conferences.

The range of IRTF research groups, and the relatively broad remit of their charters, gives the opportunity to engage with a wide range of communities. The research groups focussed on human rights, privacy, and the process of standards-setting, for example, have made connections with NGOs and advocacy groups, economists, sociologists, ethnographers, policymakers, Internet governance organisations, and many others, as part of their research. Theyâ\200\231ve learned from the differing perspectives and experiences of those communities and have, hopefully, offered useful new insights in return.

Broad consultation, discussion, and debate is a necessary part of research. IRTF research groups are encouraged to make broad connections with researchers, and other interested parties, to understand their interests, concerns, and points of view, and to share knowledge and expertise.

A key role of the IRTF is in providing a venue where those studying the Internet can interact with those developing and operating the Internet. That extends not just those studying Internet technologies, but also to those studying the wider implications and uses of the Internet, and its impact on economics, privacy, human rights, and society more broadly. Helping those who develop and operate the Internet to understand the broader implications of their work, and helping researchers studying the wider use and impact of the Internet to understand how and why the Internet works as it does, is an important part of role of the IRTF.

7. Security Considerations

This document has no direct security implications.

Research discussed in IRTF has the potential to significantly impact the security and privacy of users of the Internet. Researchers must consider potential security risks and benefits when conducting their work.

8. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

9. Informative References

[ANTI-HARASSMENT]
"IETF Anti-Harassment Policy", November 2013,
<https://irtf.org/policies/#anti-harassment>.

[OMBUDSTEAM]
"Ombudsteam", November 2013,
<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>.

[RFC2014] Weinrib, A. and J. Postel, "IRTF Research Group Guidelines and Procedures", BCP 8, RFC 2014, DOI 10.17487/RFC2014, October 1996, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2014>.

[RFC4440] Floyd, S., Ed., Paxson, V., Ed., Falk, A., Ed., and IAB, "IAB Thoughts on the Role of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)", RFC 4440, DOI 10.17487/RFC4440, March 2006, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4440>.

[RFC5743] Falk, A., "Definition of an Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Document Stream", RFC 5743, DOI 10.17487/RFC5743, December 2009, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5743>.

[RFC7418] Dawkins, S., Ed., "An IRTF Primer for IETF Participants", RFC 7418, DOI 10.17487/RFC7418, December 2014, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7418>.

[RFC8179] Bradner, S. and J. Contreras, "Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology", BCP 79, RFC 8179, DOI 10.17487/RFC8179, May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8179>.

[RFC8789] Halpern, J., Ed. and E. Rescorla, Ed., "IETF Stream Documents Require IETF Rough Consensus", BCP 9, RFC 8789, DOI 10.17487/RFC8789, June 2020, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8789>.

[RFC9775] Perkins, C. S., "IRTF Code of Conduct", RFC 9775, DOI 10.17487/RFC9775, March 2025, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9775>.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council under grant EP/S036075/1.

Thanks to Jane Coffin, Lars Eggert, Dirk Kutscher, Eliot Lear, and Allison Mankin for their feedback and review.

Author's Address

Colin Perkins
University of Glasgow
Email: csp@csperkins.org