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Abstract

This document defines how QUIC, Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS), Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP), RTP Control Protocol (RTCP), Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN), Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN), and ZRTP packets are multiplexed on a single receiving socket.

This document updates RFC 7983 and RFC 5764.
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1. Introduction


The multiplexing scheme described in this document supports multiple use cases. Peer-to-peer QUIC in WebRTC scenarios, described in [P2P-QUIC] [P2P-QUIC-TRIAL], transports audio and video over SRTP, alongside QUIC, used for data exchange. For this use case, SRTP [RFC3711] is keyed using DTLS-SRTP [RFC5764] and therefore SRTP/SRTCP [RFC3550], STUN, TURN, DTLS and QUIC need to be multiplexed on the same port. Were SRTP to be keyed using QUIC-SRTP, SRTP/SRTCP, STUN, TURN and QUIC would need to be multiplexed on the same port. Where QUIC is used for peer-to-peer transport of data as well as RTP/RTCP [I-D.ietf-avtcore-rtp-over-quic] STUN, TURN and QUIC need to be multiplexed on the same port.

While the scheme described in this document is compatible with QUIC version 2 [I-D.ietf-quic-v2], it is not compatible with QUIC bit greasing [I-D.ietf-quic-bit-grease]. As a result, endpoints that wish to use multiplexing on their socket MUST NOT send the grease_quic_bit transport parameter.

1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Multiplexing of TURN Channels

TURN channels are an optimization where data packets are exchanged with a 4-byte prefix instead of the standard 36-byte STUN overhead (see Section 3.5 of [RFC8656]). [RFC7983] allocates the values from 64 to 79 in order to allow TURN channels to be demultiplexed when the TURN Client does the channel binding request in combination with the demultiplexing scheme described in [RFC7983].

In the absence of QUIC bit greasing, the first octet of a QUIC packet (e.g. a short header packet in QUIC v1 or v2) may fall in the range 64 to 127, thereby overlapping with the allocated range for TURN.
channels of 64 to 79. However, in practice this overlap does not represent a problem. TURN channel packets will only be received from a TURN server to which TURN allocation and channel-binding requests have been sent. Therefore a TURN client receiving packets from the source IP address and port of a TURN server only needs to disambiguate STUN (i.e. regular TURN) packets from TURN channel packets; (S)RTP, (S)RTCP, ZRTP, DTLS or QUIC packets will not be sent from a source IP address and port that had previously responded to TURN allocation or channel-binding requests.

As a result, if the source IP address and port of a packet does not match that of a responding TURN server, a packet with a first octet of 64 to 127 can be unambiguously demultiplexed as QUIC.

3. Updates to RFC 7983

This document updates the text in Section 7 of [RFC7983] (which in turn updates [RFC5764]) as follows:

OLD TEXT

The process for demultiplexing a packet is as follows. The receiver looks at the first byte of the packet. If the value of this byte is in between 0 and 3 (inclusive), then the packet is STUN. If the value is between 16 and 19 (inclusive), then the packet is ZRTP. If the value is between 20 and 63 (inclusive), then the packet is DTLS. If the value is between 64 and 79 (inclusive), then the packet is TURN Channel. If the value is in between 128 and 191 (inclusive), then the packet is RTP (or RTCP, if both RTCP and RTP are being multiplexed over the same destination port). If the value does not match any known range, then the packet MUST be dropped and an alert MAY be logged. This process is summarized in Figure 3.

```
+-------------+
| [0..3] --> forward to STUN |
| [16..19] --> forward to ZRTP |
| [20..63] --> forward to DTLS |
| [64..79] --> forward to TURN Channel |
| [128..191] --> forward to RTP/RTCP |
+-------------+
```

Figure 3: The DTLS-SRTP receiver’s packet demultiplexing algorithm.

END OLD TEXT
The process for demultiplexing a packet is as follows. The receiver looks at the first byte of the packet. If the value of this byte is in between 0 and 3 (inclusive), then the packet is STUN. If the value is between 16 and 19 (inclusive), then the packet is ZRTP. If the value is between 20 and 63 (inclusive), then the packet is DTLS. If the value is in between 128 and 191 (inclusive) then the packet is RTP (or RTCP, if both RTCP and RTP are being multiplexed over the same destination port). If the value is between 80 and 127 (inclusive) or between 192 and 255 (inclusive) then it is QUIC. If the value is between 64 and 79 inclusive and the packet has a source IP address and port of a responding TURN server, then it is TURN channel; if the source IP address and port is not that of a responding TURN server, then it is QUIC.

If the value does not match any known range, then the packet MUST be dropped and an alert MAY be logged. This process is summarized in Figure 3.

```
+----------------+
|        [0..3] -+--> forward to STUN |
|                |
|      [16..19] -+--> forward to ZRTP |
|                |
|      [20..63] -+--> forward to DTLS |
|                |
|      [64..79] -+--> forward to TURN Channel |
|                | (if from TURN server), else QUIC |
| [80..127] -+--> forward to QUIC |
|                |
|    [128..191] -+--> forward to RTP/RTCP |
|                |
|    [192..255] -+--> forward to QUIC |
+----------------+
```

Figure 3: The receiver’s packet demultiplexing algorithm.

Note: Endpoints that wish to demultiplex QUIC MUST NOT send the grease_quic_bit transport parameter, described in [I-D.ietf-quic-bit-grease].

END NEW TEXT

4. Security Considerations

The solution discussed in this document could potentially introduce some additional security considerations beyond those detailed in
Due to the additional logic required, if mis-implemented, heuristics have the potential to mis-classify packets.

When QUIC is used only for data exchange, the TLS-within-QUIC exchange [RFC9001] derives keys used solely to protect the QUIC data packets. If properly implemented, this should not affect the transport of SRTP nor the derivation of SRTP keys via DTLS-SRTP. However, were the TLS-within-QUIC exchange to be used to derive SRTP keys, both transport and SRTP key derivation could be adversely impacted by a vulnerability in the QUIC implementation.

5. IANA Considerations

This document does not require actions by IANA.
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