

# Audio/Video Transport Working Group

59th IETF - Seoul

29 February - 4 March 2004

Colin Perkins <csp@csperkins.org>

Magnus Westerlund <magnus.westerlund@ericsson.com>

Mailing list: <avt@ietf.org>

# Agenda - Tuesday

Introduction and Status Update	20
Updates on behalf of authors	5
– RTP MIDI payload format and usage guide	
– Framing of RTP in connection oriented transport	
– Requirements for transport of video control commands	
RTP payload format for AMR-WB+ audio codec	15
Introduction of ATRAC family payload format	15
RTP Payload for 3GPP Timed Text	15
Payload format for text conversation	15
H.261 and H.263 payload parameters	15

# Agenda - Wednesday

Introduction	5
RTCP Extensions for SSM with Unicast Feedback	15
RTP Header Compression over MPLS	15
Verifying the media path using RTP No-Op & Preconditions	20
RTP Profile for TCP Friendly Rate Control	30

# Intellectual Property

When starting a presentation you **MUST** say if:

- There is IPR associated with your draft
- The restrictions listed in section 5 of RFC 3667 apply to your draft

When asking questions or commenting on a draft:

- You **MUST** disclose any IPR you know of relating to the technology under discussion

Reference: RFC 3667, 3668 and the “Note Well” text

# Document Status

## RFCs Published:

- draft-ietf-avt-rtcp-report-extns-06.txt => RFC 3611
- draft-ietf-avt-mpeg4-simple-08.txt => RFC 3640

## With RFC Editor:

- draft-ietf-avt-srtp-09.txt

## Area Director review:

- draft-ietf-avt-rtp-retransmission-09.txt
- draft-ietf-avt-uncomp-video-06.txt

## IETF Last Call:

- draft-ietf-avt-tcrtp-07.txt

# Document Status

IESG review:

- Need updating to address comments:
  - draft-ietf-avt-rtcp-feedback-08.txt
  - draft-burmeister-avt-rtcp-feedback-sim-05.txt
  - draft-ietf-avt-rtp-clearmode-04.txt
  - draft-ietf-avt-ilbc-codec-04.txt (Experimental)
  - draft-ietf-avt-rtp-ilbc-04.txt (Experimental)
- Awaiting clarification from ITU on changes
  - draft-jones-avt-audio-t38-03.txt

# Document Status

## WG Documents:

- draft-ietf-avt-rfc2833bis-04.txt
  - Needs review after major updates.
  - Contains new data events
- draft-ietf-avt-ulp-09.txt
  - Needs review after update addressing comments.
  - IPR notice received
  - May be ready for a new WG Last Call
- draft-ietf-avt-uxp-06.txt
  - Awaiting updates to address comments given.
- draft-ietf-avt-mpeg1and2-mod-00.txt
  - No activity since last meeting.
  - Needs review

# Document Status

## WG Documents:

- draft-ietf-avt-rtp-dsr-codecs-00.txt
  - The merged document of the three separate DSR drafts
  - Needs review to see if ready for WG Last Call
- draft-ietf-avt-profile-savpf-00.txt
  - Needs review
  - Expected to be updated after review comments, then planned for WG Last Call
- draft-ietf-avt-rfc3119bis-02.txt
  - Updated version, needs review
- draft-ietf-avt-rtp-h264-04.txt
  - Small update after meeting, then expect WG Last Call



# Document Status

## WG Documents:

- draft-ietf-avt-rtp-jpeg2000-04.txt
  - Needs review

## Individual Submissions:

- draft-kerr-avt-vorbis-rtp-03.txt
  - Needs review
- draft-herlein-speex-rtp-profile-01
  - Expired draft, needs to be updated
- draft-ramalho-rgl-rtpformat-02.txt
  - Expired draft
  - Needs update to address given comments

# Document Status

## Individual Submissions:

- draft-chen-rtp-bv-02.txt
  - Needs review
  - Requested to become WG Item
- draft-ahmadi-avt-rtp-vmr-wb-00.txt
  - Needs update to address comments given
- draft-ash-avt-ecrtp-over-mpls-protocol-00.txt
  - Initial proposal for how to address the requirements.
  - Needs resolution of requirements and charter discussion.
- draft-clark-avt-rtpmibv2-00.txt
  - Needs an update to address comments received
  - Author intends to do update in near future

# Document Status

## Individual Submissions:

- draft-finlayson-avt-mpa-robust-interoperability-00.txt
  - Initial submission, needs review
- draft-perkins-avt-rtp-reactive-fec-00.txt
  - Alternative for RTP Retransmission
  - Also IPR encumbered through RFC 2733
- draft-tseng-avt-rtcp-streaming-extens-00.txt
  - Needs an update to address comments given

# Advance RTP and AVP to Standard

- The RTP and AVP specifications have been draft standards for more than 4 months and past a IETF meeting. Thus possible to advance
- It has significant implementation and successful operational experience.
- Proposal is to advance to Internet Standard without any changes of the specifications.
- Only comments in favour for this on the mailing list.
- If no further comments are received we will request the IESG to advance RTP and AVP to Internet Standard as they are after the IETF meeting.