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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF “contribution” and “participation” are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

• By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
• If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
• As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
• Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
• As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
• BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)

https://www.ietf.org/about/note-well/
Materials and Communication

Today’s slides:
- https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/106/materials.html#rmcat

Remote participation
- Jabber: xmpp:rmcat@jabber.ietf.org?join
- Minute taker and Jabber scribe?

Mailing list
1. Introduction and WG status – chairs
2. Update on NADA implementation and new evaluation results – Xiaoqing Zhu (remote)
3. RTCP feedback for congestion control – Colin Perkins
WG Document Status

Algorithm candidates & Related Documents

- draft-ietf-rmcat-coupled-cc-07 – RFC Editor queue
- draft-ietf-rmcat-scream-cc-13 – RFC 8298
- draft-ietf-rmcat-sbd-08 – RFC 8382
- draft-ietf-rmcat-nada-05 – RFC Editor queue
- draft-ietf-rmcat-gcc-02 – dormant; waiting for update
WG Document Status

Requirements
• draft-ietf-rmcat-cc-requirements-09 – RFC Editor queue

Evaluation
• draft-ietf-rmcat-video-traffic-model-03 – RFC 8593
• draft-ietf-rmcat-eval-test-05 – RFC Editor queue
• draft-ietf-rmcat-eval-criteria-06 – With IESG
• draft-ietf-rmcat-wireless-tests-04 – With IESG
WG Document Status

Feedback

- draft-ietf-avtcore-cc-feedback-message – discussed in AVTCORE
- draft-ietf-rmcat-rtp-cc-feedback – waiting on feedback message

Interfaces

- draft-ietf-rmcat-cc-codec-interactions-02 [expired]
- draft-zhu-rmcat-framework-00 [expired]
- Will reconsider once candidates have done to standards track
Milestones

- Dec 2018  Submit requirements and evaluation criteria to IESG as Informational → Done
- Jul 2019  Publish first draft of evaluation results
- Jul 2019  Publish first draft of Standards Track congestion control algorithm
- Nov 2019 Submit interactions between applications and RTP flows to IESG as Informational
- Nov 2019 Submit congestion control to IESG for Proposed Standard
- Missed milestones → Gather evaluation results and experiences for the next 12 months (with meetings only if there are results to report) and then evaluate status and whether to pursue further work?